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AESTRACT
This report descibes first-time students in the Virginia Community College System (VCCS) in terms of demographic characteriscics, socioeeonomic background, academic characteristics, and goais. Additionally, the VCCS student characteristics are ccmpared with American Council on Education norms for two- and four-year public college students nationwide. Information on the VCCS students was collected by means of a student data form administered to nearly 30,000 students during 1974-75. Data analysis showed: (1) 51\% of the students were men; (2) $15 \%$ were minority group members; (3) median age was 23.35 years; (4) $45 \%$ were married; (5) $48 \%$ had military experience; (6) $62 \%$ livad within ten miles of the community ccll'ge they attended; (7) more than one-third of the students. parents had nct completed high school; (8) more than half of the students' fathers were employed in white collar occupations; (9) median parertal income was $\$ 14,466$; (10) $43 \%$ indicated an o: :cupational goal as a reason for attending the community college; (11) $25 \%$ had no degree aspirations; and (12) 31\% aspired to a saccalaureaie or higher degree. Overall, it appeared that the vCCS was serving a somewhat different population from that of $\mathbb{m}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{n} y$ twoand fouz-year public colleges across the nation. The student data form and tabular data on student characteristics are appended. (JDS)
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## margonictlon

This is the second report on first-time students in the virainia Community College 'ystem (VCCS). The maior nurnose of this and last year's report was to describe vCOS enrolloes in terms of demonraphic characteristics, socioeconomic backround, academic characteristics, and noals. During 1974-75, information was collected from nearly 30,000 first-time students throuch the use of a Student Data Form (Aopendix A) arid the student "J" card (Appendix B). Pesponses to the Data Form and the "J" card were processed by computer, and data summaries for each of the 23 colleges and for the vCCS were made avalable in October, 1975. Throughout the Profile, comparisons were made with 1973-74 indings, and with the American Council on Education (ACE) norms for two and four-year public colleges nationwide. The resource document for ACE ncrms is described in Appendix C.

The 29,216 respondents represented 66 percent of the full-tlme 1974-75 enrollees, 50 percent of the part-time enrollees, and 55 percent of the total enrollees. The reader should be aware that the higher response rate of full-time students tended to bias the data towards their particular characteristics. In the course of the report, areas where the response bias significantly altered the findings are clearly noted. In addition, the reader is cautioned not to assume that the ACE data were representative of all community college students across the country.

Collecting information on new students and developing analyses are increasingly valuable for planning and manaqement of the VCCS, providing for the monitorinc of trends in enrollee characteristics. The primary users of this report will be the educational planners, administrators, and instructors in the colleges. The redort will also be useful to other persons interested in the academic and support service development of the VCCS.

The report concludes with a summary and an appendix containing a complete set of tables.

## FINDINGS

The findings were organized into four paris: (1) demographic characteristics, (2) socioeconomic background, (3) academic characteristics, and (4) goals. Churts and tabulations were utilized in the narrative iections.

Vemogruphic characteristics commonly provide the most basic data in a student information sutem. In this report the following demoyraphic charactoristics of $r: w$ VCOS students were Included: sex, race, açe, marital status, militm. status, homo rea, distance from home to collegc, and transportation.

50\%

Within the 1974-75 first-time student group, 51 percont were men Amd 49 percent wite women (Table 1). from 1973-74 to 1974-75 the proportion of $m$ 'ecreasod by two percent and the proportion of women increased by twr percent.

In 1913-74 there wore more black women than black men, but in 1974-75 this situation was roversed. Black enrollees had similar proportions of mon and women us white enrollees, while other minorities had slightly larger percentages of men.

Higher percentages of men than women were enrolled in occupationaltechnical, transfer, and developmental programs. More women that men were unclassified students.l

The reader is cautioned concerning limitations of the data in this section since the bias towards full-time students influences the overall data un male/female proportions. Within the full-time student group 62 percent were men and 38 percent were women, while with in the part-time student group 47 percent were men and 53 percent were women.

Race

The racial composition of the first-time student group in 1974-75 was 85 percent white and 15 percent minority, remaining unchanged from 1973-74 (Table 1). The following tabulati-n presents the distribution of white and minority stadents among programs of study:

| Program | White | Minority |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Occup.-Tech. | $14 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Iransfer | 8 | 5 |
| Oovelopmental | 6 | 15 |
| Unclassified | $\frac{72}{100 \%}$ | $\frac{63}{100 \%}$ |
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NCl Normr:

| X-Yo,r | 1-Yoar |
| :---: | :---: |
| Col! | collegu: |


$\because!$
$\vdots$
$\frac{i}{100!}$
$8.8 \%$
$1 \%$
$\frac{4}{100} \%$
 Guderi, in cumbri,n with other puthic olleges across the nation.


$\therefore$

 ricw enrolioen was ? 3.35 years, 1.6 years righer than in 1973-74 (latule 2). In 1974-15, men and women as well as whites and minorities nud niarly the rame madian dare.
amoni curri,ular groupi, tranrifer . lents were the youngest groun me unclaseified stuconts wore the olde. The median age of part-time Gtidents wa., 25.47 yours, considerably higher than 18.86 for full-time , tudents. Therse findings must be interproted carefully, since many iust-lime students, who are generally older than full-time students, did not return Student Data forms. Theref re, age characteristics ropurted hore may be biased toward the your er age groups.
figure 1 illurtrates the percentage distribution of age groups of -.1. leus butej on uvailable information, with comparisons to 1573-74 firislinys. Hhe pr:purtion of students 19 years of age or under decreased i! laiA-i , while the proportion of students 25 years of age or over inn.rensus.
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> Figure I
> Age Distribution of First-Time Students

The following tabulation comnares the ages of full-time VCCS enrollees with those in two- and four-year public colleges:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age by } \\ \text { December } 31,1074 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{VCO}}$ | NCE Norms |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2-Year | 4-Year |
|  |  | f.olleges | Colleges |
| 19 or under | $5 \%$ | 80\% | 96\% |
| 20-? ${ }^{\circ}$ | 11 | 6 | $?$ |
| 23-25 | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| 26 or over | 3 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 100\% | T30\% | T00\% |

Compar:d to ACE norms for public solleges nationwide, a much smaller proportion of VCCS enrollees were 19 years of age or under. Only three procent of two-year students were over 25 , compared with twenty percent of VCCS enrollees. It appears that community colleges in Virijinia are serving a different full-time student age population than other public colleges across the nation. The range of ages within the vocs full-time student group is brad, and older adults form a significant part of this group. It is necessary to note, however, that part-time students, who were not included in this comparison, comprise ES purcent of the VCCS enrollee population.

Marital slatus
In 1974-7!, "ingle students comprised $4 /$ percenl of the new Iludent zroup, marricd students mocounted for 45 percent, while eight procent reported "other" rable i). lice proportion of single students decronsed seven parcent from 197s-7n, while the proportion of married and "other" categories incrensed slightly.

Gince full-time student: were , lmost twice as likoly to be single thinn purt-time stadonts, the over-roprosentation of full-time students within the respondent aroup bisses the above data toward tne single student.

Ambny curricalar yroups, proportionally more fransfer students were single.

Yilitary utgtus

Within the malu enrolloe population, 48 percent of the students had military experionce (Tatle a). Veterans comprised 40 percent of this new student group, an increase; of seven percent from 1973-74. the enrol lees on active daty remained at eight percent.

Tho proportion of new male students who were veterans receiving (il benefits increased from 27 percent in 1973-74 to 34 percent in 1974-75. A higher proportion of minority students than white students were veterans who received Gl benefits. Among curricular groups, occupational-technical and developmental students represented the largest proportions of veterans with benefits.

The following tabulation compares the military status of fulltime male vCCS enrallees with national norm groups in two- and fouryear public cotleges:

| Veteran | VCCS | ACE Noims |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2-Year <br> Colleges | 4-Year Colleges |
| ros | 48\% | 6\% | 2\% |
| Nios | 52 | 94 | 98 |
|  | 100震 | 100\% | 100\% |

It is apparent that virginia community colleges are serving a siynificantly larger propoition of veterans than are most public collogers nationwide.

Home Arug

The majority of enrollees came from urtan or suburban areas rainer than from rural environments (Table 5). Fronortionally more whites came from uburban areas than from cities with populations of over 25,000 , while biacks and other minorities more often came from urban environments.








Iirnatortiation




 usud pubic transportation io a groater extent than white students. More part-time than full-time students used personal means of transportation tuschool.

## Socioeconomic Background

Hhe following socioncnomic characteristics are described in this ,ection: porents' education, purents' occupation, parents' income, tan' itudents' income.
-rentr' Education
Figure $z$ i iluetrates the educationinl lovels of the parents of
 anol! ew, nert iand one-third had not graduated from high school. U.r: rotherc; ing father. were high school graduates, but more fathers aid cilleme or araduate degrees.

Ine adacistion iovel, of father: rif whita and minority enralloes.

 :rarir tionally, on i., me. of minuritio, had educational levels under the eighth grade.



```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline  & \(\therefore \cdots\) & 11.100 & \(\because \|!\cdots \cdots\) \\
\hline  & \(\therefore 1,!\) & 110 & \(1 \%\) \\
\hline \(\therefore 1,1+11.10!1,11+1\) & 1 ' & 11 & 1 : \\
\hline - :', ! ! . . . .1.:'. & , i & \(\because:\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline  & \(\cdots\) & & , \\
\hline  & . \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & ! & ' ; \\
\hline ", !., ' , 11, !1-1 & - & \(1 \cdot\) & \(1{ }^{\circ}\) \\
\hline & 11 &  & 1: \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```







 with wernllar, were wmbloyed in whita-collar ocoupations outside of the $\therefore$ rir.
in. hallowine latulation "hove hathor'; nccunation by student rrad.jm:

| "1, | wilf..- allar | $\begin{aligned} & 3114+ \\ & 011 a r \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Unemn loyod or unknown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -rua. - inctio | ; 6 | $\therefore 1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| lr meder | 4 | $\therefore:$ | $\dot{5}$ |
| - wnlanmatal | 3 | ' $\because$ | $!$ |
|  | \% : | .1. | $\therefore$ |










## Parent's Income

Those new students who were single and under 25 years of age were asked to report parental, rather than personal, income on the Student Daria Form. The median parental income of this student group was $\$ 14,466$, an increase of $\$ 1,529$ from 1073-74 (Table 11). Twenty-two percent of the parents had incomes under \$9,000, while 36 percent earned $\$ 18,000$ or over. In 1973-74, 28 percent had incomes under $\$ 9,000$, while 27 percent earned $\$ 18,000$ or over.

As in 1973-74, large income differences were reported in 1974-75 between the parents of white and black students. White parents had a median income of $\$ 15,654$, while the median income of black parents was $\$ 7,052$. The median parental income for other minorities was $\$ 14,062$. Figure 4 contrasts the distribution of incomes within white and minority parental groups:


Figure 4
Incomes of Parents by Race

Median parental income by sturent group is shown in the following tabulation:

| Progran: |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Occup.-Tech. | Median Income |
| Tran'ifer | $\$ 12,033$ |
| Mevelopmental | 14,388 |
| Unclassified | 12,705 |
|  | 16,011 |

Parents of transfer and unclassified students had higher median incomes than parents of occupational-technical and developmental students. These findings correspond with the reported occunations of parents previously cited.

As in 1973-74, there were wi de variations in the median parental incomes among individual community colleges (Table 12). Northern Virginia had the highest median income ( $\$ 18,408$ ). The next highest was at Piedmont Virginia $(\$ 15,203)$, and the lowest was at Southside Virginia $(\$ 7,874)$. By excluding Northern Virginia from the statewide median, the more representative median income of $\$ 12,293$ was calculated.

The following tabulation compares the median parental income for full-time vCCS enrollees with ACE norms:

Group
VCCS, including Northern Virginia
VCCS, excluding Northern Virginia
ACE Norms, 2-Year Colleges
AcE Norms, 4-Year Colleges

Median Income
$\$ 17,466$
12,293
12,634
14,272

The adjusted median parental income for VCCS students, excluding Northern Virginia, was below the norms for both two- and four-year put:lic col: ?ges nationwide.

## Student Income

The median income of married studenis and single students who were 25 years of age or older was \$13,465, an increase of $\$ 1,445$ from 1973-74 (Table 13). Twenty-three percent of this enrollee group had incomes under \$2,000, and 26 percent had incomes of $\$ 18,000$ or over. In 1973-74, 28 percent had incomes under $\$ 9,000$, and 20 percent earned $\$ 18,000$ or over.

The median income for white students was $\$ 2,957$ above that of lack students. Notice that the differential for white and black independent students is notably less than for the parents of younger dependent students. Amonn curricular groups, occupational-technical students had the highest median income while developmental students had the lowest.

Again, the reader is alerted to the influence of full-time student rosnondent bias on student income data. Within the full-time group on ly 38 percent reported incomes of $\$ 12,000$ or above, while within the part-time group 63 Dercent reported incomes of $\$ 12,000$ or above.

## 14

Academic charactorictics of students are described in terms of high school curriculum and class standing.

High School Curriculum
In 1974-75, 82 percent of the first-time studer, s had followed either general or college preparatory curricula during high school, while six percent had been in vocational programs and eight percent had received the CED certificate (Table 14 ). Nine percent more women than men had been in college preparatory curricula, and eight percent more men had received the GED. While 43 percent of the wi.ite enroilees nad followed college preparatory prograns, only 25 percent of the black enrollees nad done so.

As expected, the majority of occupational-technical enrollees nad been in either general or vocational programs in high school, while the majority of transfer students had followed college preparatory curricula. At 16 percent, the proportion of developmental students who nad received the CED was approximately twice that of the other groups.

## High Scinool Class Standing

New enrollees were asked to report their high school class standing. It was believed that many students tended to overestimate their standing. which resulted in a bias toward higher standings than were actually true. Therefore, these data can be relied upon to illustrate only relative, rather than absolute, differences among enrollee groups.

More than eight of ten new students reported being in the upper half of their high scnool class (Table 15). The largest proportion of cnrollees indicated that their class standing was in the lower quartile of the upper half. As in 1973-74, proportionally more women than men had been in the upper half of their high school class.

The following tabulation presents the reported high school class standing of enrollees by curricular groups:

| Program | Top Ten Percent | Upper Half | Lower Half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occup.-Tech. | 10\% | 85\% | 15\% |
| Transfer | 15 | 86 | 14 |
| Developmental | 4 | 66 | 34 |
| Unclassified | 18 | 84 | 16 |

As expected, a larger proportion of developmental enrollees than other students reported being in the lower half of their high school cliss. Proportionally more transfer and unclassified students reported themselves in the top ten percont.

The new students' goals in attendino college and the degree levels "Tudents souqht are discussed in this section.

## Major Goal at Community College

Enrollees were asked to indicate from a list of goals their major reason for attending a cor mity college (Table 16). The following tabulation presents the distribution of educational goals within the new student group:

| Goals |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Oecupational Goals | Percentage |
| Preparation for a Specific Job | $16 \%$ |
| Preparation for a Career Field | 23 |
| General Preparation for Employment | 4 |
| Transfer | 21 |
| General Personal Goals | $\frac{36}{100 \%}$ |

Occupational god, vere selected by 43 percent of the students. The largest number in this category indicated that they attended college to prepare themselves for a career field. Transfer goals were chosen by 21 percent of the enrollees, a decrease from 26 percent in 1973-74. General personal goals were selected by 36 percent of the students, an increase from 30 percent in 1973-74.

Proportionally more minority students picked occupational goals, while a larger proportion of white students selected general personal goals. Equal proportions of whites and minorities chose transfer goals.

The following tabulation presents students' goals by curricular groups:
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Program } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Occupational } \\ \text { Goals }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Transfer } \\ \text { Goals }\end{array} & \end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { General } \\ \text { Personal } \\ \text { Goals }\end{array}\right]$

The predominant goal choices of occupational-technical and transfer students corresponded to their curricular programs. The majority of developmental students selected occupational goals and proportionally more unclassifiad students chose genera! personal goale.

Agair, reader caution $i$, advised regarding the full-tir : student rosprondent bias within student goai data. Among full-time students, r? percens indicated occ pational goals, 37 percent transfer goals, and Il fierrent utneral persmal goals. In sontrast, umong part-time students, 40 percent indicated occupational goals, 14 percent transfer goals, and 46 percent general personal goals.

New students were asked to rate their chances of achieving their goals, on a scale from very high to very low. More than nine out of ten rated their chances very high or high, and the remainder rated their chances at about half (Table 17). White students rated their chances higher than minority students; but it is noteworthy that almost no new students rated their chances low or very low.

Dogree Level Dosired
First-time students were aske! to indicate the degree level they hoped to complete (Table l8). The following tabulation presents the degree lovels sought by the enrollee group:

| Degree Levol Desired |  | Fercentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| None |  | $25 \%$ |
| Certificate | 13 |  |
| Diploma | 8 |  |
| AAS | 16 |  |
| AA. or AS | 7 |  |
| Bachelor's Degree | 20 |  |
| Beyond 4-Year Degree | 11 |  |

In 1974-75, 25 percent of the new students had no degree aspirations, an increase of five percent from 1973-74. Among the 37 percent who planned to earn an occupational-technical award, the largest number desired in AAS degree. Only seven percent of the enrollees intended to earn the $A A$ or $A S$, and the remaining 31 percent planned to earn a bachelor's degree or beyond. A larger proportion of women and part-time students had no degree aspirations, whereas more men and full-time students indicated wlans to earn a bachelor's degree or beyond.

Degree level desired varied with program of study. Among occupationaltechnical enrollees, 79 percent sought community college awards, with the largest number desiring the AAS degree. Twenty-nine percent of the transfer students indicated that they specifically intended to earn a community college award. While 67 percent of the transfer students reported that they intended to earn a bachelor's degree or higher, this does not necessarily preclude the students' intention to earn a community college award as an interim goal. The majority of the developmental students desired to earn an AAS or a bachelor's degree. A large proportion of unclassifled students had no degree aspirations.

The following tabulation compar the denree levels sought bv full-time VCCS onrolloos and the nationai norn group from two-year putilic collegrs:

| Degree Level Desired | VCCS | ACl Norm 2-Year Colleges |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | 4\% | 7\% |
| Associate | 56 | 20 |
| Eathefor's or Beyond | 10 | 73 |
|  | $100 \%$ | 100\% |

A smaller proportion of VCCS enrollees than two-year public college students claimed they had no degree aspirations. Proportiorally more VCCS students desired to earn an ássociate degree, while a larger sroportion of two-year putlic college students sought a bacinlor's degree or beyond. It apoears that VCCS siudents are less interested in a college parallel proaram than most students at two-year colleges, and are more oriented toward occupational--technical education.

This observation seems especially valid when fuli-time student respondent bias is taken into account. Among full-time students 40 percent indicated that they desired a bachelor's degree or beyond, while among part-tine students, only 27 percent so indicated.

New students were asked to rate their chances of achieving their degree aspirations, on a scale from very high to very low (Table 19). Only one percent considered their chances as low, while 85 percer, ${ }^{\text {t }}$ rated their chances as very high or high, and 14 percent about half.

## SUMMAPY

In 1974-75, the VCCS first-time student ponulation was 44,122, a 47 nercent increase from 29,916 in 1973-74. The new student groun was comprised of nearly cqual numbers of men and women, and the racial composition was 85 percent white and 15 percent minority. In 1974-75, there was an increase in the number of older students, married students, veterans, and part-time students attending the community colleges. As the VCCS enrollee group includes higher oroportion such students, it apnears that virginia community colleges are se, inj a somewhat ifferent student ponulation from that of many two- and four-year public colleges across the nation. It seems that open admissivis, low tuition, and regional college locations have attracted a wide spectrum of Virginia residents to the community colleges.

Although community colleges con provide oooortunities for changing ife natterns, the educational ehoices of many students still appear to de influenced by their parents' socioecunomic background. This points to a continued need for career education so that students from all backgrounds may consider the full range of opportunities offerid at the community colleges.

To the Student:
You are asked to ansver a number of questions about your baci,jsound and reasons for attending colege. The information we obtain will be used in describing community college students and in developing tmproved programs and strvices for tiem. Your personal responses will be treated as confidential, and will not be released to the public. The questions are very simple ones, and you should finish in about five minutes.

1. Name (Pleasc Print) $\qquad$
2. Mailing Address $\qquad$
3. Social Security Number $\qquad$ - $\qquad$
4. Year of Birth 19 $\qquad$
ANGNLK EACH QUESTION BY WRITING THE APPROPRIATE NUMBER IN THE BLANK SPACE. SHOW ONLY ONE ANSWLR FOR EACH GIESTION.
5. Marital Status.

| 1 | Single or engaged |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{2}$ | Married |
| 3 | Other |

8. Class standing in high school. (Answer only if you graduated during the past two yeare'.
$\frac{1}{2}$ Top 10 percent $\quad \frac{3}{4}$ Upper half
$\underline{L}$ Lop 25 percent $\quad$ half
9. Show the highest educational level completed by each of your parents.
(a) Father
(b) Mother
$\frac{1}{2}$ Under 8 years
$\frac{2}{3}$ Completed 8th grade
$\frac{3}{4}$ Attended high school
$\frac{4}{4}$ High school graduate
5 Attended college
$\frac{6}{7}$ Four-year college graduate
$\frac{7}{7}$ Master's or higher degrec
10. Show the type of work for each of your parents in parts (a) and (b). If they are retired or deceased, refer to their former jobs. Comy?ete part (c) to show your own eniployment status only if you are a part-time student.
(a) Father
(b) Mother
(c) Yourself

Clerical and Sales - bank teller, salesman, office or sales clerk, etc.
Managerial or Office - sales or office manager, bank officer, purchasing agent, etc. professional - CPA, dentist, enginecr, teacher, military officer, etc.
Proprictor or Owner - owner of farm or small business in which only family members are employed.
proprictor or owner - owner of farm or larger business in wh in persons other than family menbers are also employed.
6 Semi-professional and Technical - engineering technician, dental technician, practical nurse, surveyor, etc.
7 Scmi-st.illed worker - machine operator, assembler, bus driver, meat cutter, etc. Scrvice worker - barber, policeman, waiter, fireman, etc.
Skilled worker or Foreman - baker, carpenter, electrician, foreman, etc.
10 Unskilled worker - laborer, gas station attendant, farm worker, etc.
$\Pi$ Housewife or Homemaker
T2 Unemployed
Unknown
11. Please estimate your family's total annual income (before taxes), using the list below. Complete either part (a) or part (b). (This information will be used only for educational research and will not be linked to your personal identity).
__(a) if you are single and under age 25 , it.dicate your parents' combined annual income.
_(b) It fou are married or age 25 or above, indicate the combined income of you and your nit: ut husband, ur

_12. Which of the following best describes your home area?
$\frac{1}{2}$ City of 100,000 or more people
$\frac{2}{3}$ City of 25,000 to 100,000
3 City or town of 2,500 to 25,000 (not a suburban area of a city)
4 Suburban area
$\underline{5}$ Farm or village of less than 2,500 people

14. Your major goal fer attending this
14. Your major goal fri attending th
college. Shew only one answer.
$\frac{1}{2}$ Prepare for a specific job or skill
prepare for employment in a specific career Field
3 General preparation for employment
$\frac{4}{4}$ Prepare for tratisfer to a four -year college
5 Increase my general knowledge and level of education
For personal satisfaction
7 Other (specify)
$\qquad$ 17. Rate your c: ances of ring the degree lever. 79 il $\quad$ in question 16. If you do not have a degree aspiration, do not respond to this question.
$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{2} & \text { Very High } \\ \frac{\text { High }}{3} \\ \frac{3}{4} & \text { About Half } \\ \frac{4}{5} & \text { Very Low }\end{array}$
$\qquad$
15. Rate your chances of achieving the
15. Rate your chances of achieving the
major goal you noted in question 14.
$\frac{1}{2}$ Very High
$\frac{3}{3}$ About Half $\quad \frac{4}{5}$ Low
16. Level of degree you hope to complete.
$\frac{1}{2}$ No degree aspiration
2 Certificate
$\frac{3}{4}$ Diploma
$\frac{5}{5}$ Associate in Applied Science
6 Science
$\frac{6}{7}$ Bachelor's Degree
$-\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Graduate degree }\end{aligned}$

19. Type of transportation to and from the college you plan to use. Show only one answer.
$\frac{1}{2}$ Personal or family car
$\overline{2}$ Public bus transportation
$\frac{3}{3}$ Ride with other students
$\overline{4}$ Undecided
20. Is public bus transportation available for your use from home to the college?
$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{2} & \text { Yes } \\ \underline{N}\end{array}$
21. Is it important to you that bus transportation be available for commuting to the college?
$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{2} & \text { Yes } \\ \underline{2} & \text { No }\end{array}$
22. What was the best source of information for you about the college?

| $\frac{1}{2}$ Newspaper | $\frac{5}{2}$ Parents or Relatives |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\frac{3}{3}$ Rigor TV School | $\frac{6}{4}$ Publications (College Catalogs, Brochures, etc.) |
| Friends | $\frac{7}{4}$ Other (specify) |

APPENDIX B
Data Processing Format For "J" Card

| Card Columns | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1--9 | Student Number (Social Security Number) |
| 10-24 | Last Name of Student - Left Justify |
| 25-33 | First Name of : tudent - Left Justify |
| 34 | Middle Initial of Student |
| 35-36 | Jr., Sr., 11, or jid |
| 37 | Sex Code of Student 1-Male, 2-Female |
| 38-40 | Jurisdiction Code of Student Home Residence - If the student is a resident of Virginia, use appropriate county or city code (A-9.44A or A-9.44B). If the student is from out-of-state, use a state code from A-9.44D. |
| 41-44 | Curriculum Code ( $\mathrm{A}-9.44 \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| 45-50 | High School College Entrance Examination Board Code ( $A-9.44 \mathrm{E}$ includes high schools in Virginia) <br> 45-46 State <br> 47-48 High School <br> Use these codes when necessary <br> 4998 - GED <br> 4999 - Other |
| 51-53 | Local Option (Faculty Advisory or Counselor) |
| 54 | Local Option |
| 55 | Race Code <br> 1. Caucasian <br> 4. Or iental <br> 2. Negro <br> 5. Spanish Surnamed Americans <br> 3. American 'ndian <br> 6. Other |
| 56 | Local Option |
| 57 | Student Code <br> 1. First time post-high school student <br> 2. Previous post-high school attendance <br> 3. First time student of college reporting student (e.g. student who has completed previous college work and is a transfer student) |
| 58-75 | Local Option |
| 76 | Campus Code ( $\mathrm{A}-9.44 \mathrm{~F}$ ) |
| - 77-79 | Community College Agency Code (A-9.44G) |
| 80 | Card Identification Code (J) |

APPENDIXC<br>American Council on Education<br>I'he American lreshman<br>Nationa? Norms for Fall 1974

This is the ninth annual report ot national normative data on characteristics of students entering collego as first-time, full-time freshmen. This series, which was initiated in 1966, is a part of the Cooperative Institutional Research Program (CIRP), an ongoing longitudinal study of the American higher education system.

The freshman survey data reported have been weighted to provide a normative picture of the college freshman population. The survey inst. ument, the Stuoent Information Form (SIF), is revised annually to reflect the changing concerns of the academic community and of others who use the information.

Although 311,950 entering freshmen at 576 colleges and universities returned their forms in time for data to be included in the 1974 survey, the normative data fresented are based on responses from 189,724 freshmen entering 364 institutions.

The national norms are based only on data from institutions where the coverage of entering freshmen was judged to be representative. This judgment is based on the percentage of first-time freshmen who completed the 1974 SIF and on the procedures used to administer the forms. Fouryear colleges are included in the national norms if over 85 percent of their first-time, full-time freshmen completed the SIF; universities must have over 75 percent participation, while two-year ol leges must have 50 percent.

The normative data were collected by administering the 1974 SIF during registration, freshman orientation, or the first few weeks of classes. The SIF is designed to elicit a wide range of biographic and demographic data, as well as data on the student's high school background, career plans, educational aspirations, financial arrangements, high school activities, and current attitudes. Those data meeting minimal quality requirements for inclusion in the 1974 norms were differentially weighted to represent tho population of entering freshmen at all higher educational insti'utions in the United States.

Part-time students and those who were not first-time college students (i.e., transfers and former enrollees) were excluded from the normative sample.

The normative data were reported sefarately for women and for men, and for 38 different groupings of institutions. The major stratifying factors were institutional race (predominantly black versus predominantly white) type (two-year college, four-year college, university), control (public, private-nonsectarian, Roman Catholic and Protestant), and the "selectivity level" of the institution.

APPENDIX D
tables

TABLE 1
SEX ANO HACIAL CHAPACTERISTICS OF TIRST-TIME STUDENTS

| rroup | Sex |  |  |  | kace |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Male | Female | White | Black | Other |
| All revroonsunts | 44,122 | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ | 85\% | 12\% | 3\% |
| ninite | 37,082 | 31 | 49 |  |  |  |
| black | 5,320 | 32 | 48 |  |  |  |
| Othe: | 1,120 | 54 | 46 |  |  |  |
| Oocup.-Tocn. | 6,301 | 59 | 41 | 83 | 16 | 1 |
| Transfer | 3,357 | 59 | 41 | 90 | 8 | 2 |
| Developmental | 3,126 | 65 | 35 | 70 | 27 | 3 |
| Unclassified | 31,358 | 47 | 53 | 87 | 10 | 3 |
| Full-Time | 13,074 | 62 | 38 | 81 | 16 | 3 |
| Part-Time | 31,048 | 47 | 53 | 87 | 10 | 3 |

TABLE 2
AGE OF FIRST-TIME STUDENTS

| Oroup | Median Age in Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Total |
| All Respondents | 23.42 | 23.27 | 23.35 |
| White | 23.16 | 23.47 | 23.30 |
| black | 24.59 | 21.67 | 23.45 |
| Other | 24.00 | 24.81 | 24.37 |
| Occup.-Tech. | 22.31 | 18.56 | 19.99 |
| Transfer | 18.84 | 18.26 | 18.61 |
| ievelopmental | 22.18 | 13.35 | 21.07 |
| Unclassified | 24.46 | 25.01 | 24.74 |
| full-lime | 19.77 | 13.16 | 18.86 |
| furt-rime | 25.40 | 25.57 | 25.49 |
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TABLL 3
MARITAI．STATUS OF FIRST－TIME STUOENTS

| ＇jroup | Single | Married | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All lesespondents | $47 \%$ | 45\％ | 8\％ |
| Malo | 49 | 45 | 6 |
| Femalo | 45 | $\therefore 4$ | 11 |
| White | 47 | 45 | 8 |
| 31 ck | 49 | 42 | 9 |
| Other | 43 | 50 | 7 |
| Uccup．－Tsach． | 59 | 37 | 4 |
| Transfer | 72 | 25 | 3 |
| Uevelopmental | 57 | 37 | $\sigma$ |
| inclassified | 43 | 51 | 6 |
| Full－time | 12 | 24 | 4 |
| Fart－Time | 38 | 55 | 7 |

TABLE 4
MI LITARY STATUS OF FIRST－TIME STUDENTS

| （iroup | Veteran－ Gl Benefits | Voteran－ No Bonefits | Active Duty | Non－ Veteran |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All respondents | 19\％ | 3\％ | 5\％ | 73\％ |
| Malo | 34 | 6 | 8 | 52 |
| Hemsle | 2 | 1 | 1 | 96 |
| White | 17 | 4 | 5 | 74 |
| blach | 30 | 2 | ＇ | 63 |
| いけいい「 | 15 | 3 | 10 | 72 |
| U：Cup．－Tech． | 26 | 2 | 2 | 70 |
| Irumbior | 14 | 2 | 4 | 80 |
| Uevelupmental | 33 | 2 | 2 | 63 |
| Unctasisifled | 16 | 4 | 6 | 74 |
| Pull－1ime | 24 | 1 | 2 | 73 |
| lort－i imt． | 16 | 5 | 6 | 73 |

TABLE 5
HOME AREA OF FIRST-TIME STUDENTS

| Sroup | $\begin{gathered} \text { City - } \\ 25,000 \text { UD } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Town Suburb | Farm Village |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 40\% | 46\% | 14\% |
| Male | $4 \%$ | 44 | 14 |
| Fermale | 37 | 49 | 14 |
| Nhite | 33 | $47^{\circ}$ | 15 |
| Black | 50 | 37 | 13 |
| Otner | 52 | 43 | 5 |
| Occup.-Tech. | 33 | 46 | 21 |
| Transfer | 35 | 48 | 17 |
| Developmental | 46 | 44 | 10 |
| Unclassified | 42 | 45 | 13 |
| Full-Time | 39 | 46 | 15 |
| Part-Time | 41 | 45 | 14 |

TABLE 6

DISTANCE FROM HOME TO COLLEGE

| Group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Up to } \\ & 10 \mathrm{Miles} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11-20 \\ \text { Miles } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21-30 \\ & \text { Miles } \end{aligned}$ | Over 30 Miles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 62\% | 21\% | 9\% | 8\% |
| Male | 62 | 21 | 9 | 8 |
| Female | 62 | 21 | 9 | 8 |
| White | 62 | 21 | 9 | 8 |
| B:ack | 54 | 20 | 9 | 7 |
| Other | 74 | 18 | 4 | 4 |
| Occup.-Tech. | 49 | 25 | 14 | 12 |
| Tranifer | 55 | 25 | 12 | 8 |
| Developmental | 65 | 20 | 8 | 7 |
| Unclassified | 66 | 20 | 8 | 6 |
| Full-Time | 57 | 23 | 11 | 9 |
| Part-Time | 65 | 20 | 8 | 7 |

TABLE 7:
TYil OF TRANSPORTATION

|  | Peroonal or Family | Public | Car Pool | Undecided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All roripondents | 虽 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| Malo | 98 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Femalo | $\therefore 4$ | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| Whito | ¢8 | 2 : | 5 | 5 |
| Black | 72 | 11 | 8 | 9 |
| Other | 78 | 8 | 4 | 10 |
| Ocoup - Toch | 85 | 3 | 6 | 6 |
| Truncfor | 84 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Devalopmontal | 82 | 5 | 5 | 8 |
| Hnclucsified | 31 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Full-Timo | 79 | 6 | 6 | 9 |
| Part-Time | 89 | 2 | 5 | 4 |

TABLE 8

AVAILABILITY AND IMPORTANCE OF PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

|  | Availability |  | Importance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| All Respondints | 21 | 79 | 13\% | 87\% |
| Male | 21 | 79 | 11 | 39 |
| Female | 22 | 78 | 15 | 85 |
| White | 19 | 81 | 10 | 90 |
| Black | 37 | 63 | 28 | 72 |
| Other | 36 | 64 | 29 | 71 |
| Occup.-Tech. | 17 | 83 | 13 | 87 |
| Transfer | 19 | 81 | 12 | 88 |
| Developmental | 27 | 73 | 18 | 82 |
| Unclassified | 22 | 78 | 12 | 88 |
| Full-Time | 24 | 76 | 18 | 82 |
| Part-Time | 20 | 80 | 10 | 90 |

TABLE 9

## PARENTS' EDUCATION

|  | Under 8 Yaers |  | Complated Bth Grade |  | Attended High School |  | High School Graduate |  |  | Attenjed College |  | 4-Year Collage Graduate |  | Mastar's or Higher |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gras | Father | Mother | Father | Whther | Father | Nother |  | Father | Mother | Father | Nothel | 'Fathar | Mother | Father | Mother |
| All Respondents | $10 \%$ | 10\% | 10\% | \% |  |  |  | $26^{\circ}$ | 39\% | 14\% | 15\% |  | $8 \%$ | 8\% | 28 |
| Hole | 17 | 13 | 10 | 8 | 15 | 17 | $\checkmark$ | 20 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fenala | 15 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 17 |  | 26 | 38 | 14 | 14 17 | 11 | 8 | 8 | 2 |
| Wita | 14 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 14 | 15 |  | 27 | 41 | 14 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Elack | 32 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 22 | 28 |  | 22 | 31 | 14 6 | $\cdot 17$ | 12 2 | $\frac{9}{3}$ | 9 | 2 |
| Other | 16 | 17 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 15 |  | 21 | 31 | 14 | 14 | 16 | 10 | 13 | 3 |
| Ociup. -Tacn, | 23 | 13 | 10 | 10 | 18 | 20 |  | 27 | 39 | 11 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| Transter | 12 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 13 | 15 |  | 29 | 43 | 15 | 18 | 14 | 10 | 4 | ? |
| Devaiomimenal | 21 | 12 |  | 11 | 16 | 21 |  |  | 37 | :12 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 5 | $?$ |
| Unclessitiod | 15 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 14 | 16 |  | 26 | 39 |  | 16 |  | 9 |  |  |
| full-Time | 16 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 15 | 17 |  | 27 | 40 | 14 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Part-Time | 16 | 10 | 11 | 9 | 15 | 17 |  | 26 | 38 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 9 | 8 | 2 |

TABLE 10

## PARENTS' OCCUPATION



## TABLE II

PARENTS' INCOME
(For Single Students and Those Under 25 Years of Age)

| Grous | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ \$ 6,000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86,000- \\ & 8,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,000- \\ & 11,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12,000- \\ & 14,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 15,000- \\ 17,999 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 18,000 \\ & \text { or Over } \end{aligned}$ | Median Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mll Respondents | 10\% | $12 \%$ | 15\% | 15\% | 12\% | $36 \%$ | \$14,466 |
| Hale | 9 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 36 |  |
| Female | 13 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 34 | $\begin{aligned} & 14,8,4 \\ & 14,053 \end{aligned}$ |
| White | 7 | 10 | 14 | 16 | 13 | 40 |  |
| Black | 40 | 24 | 16 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 15,054 7,052 |
| Other | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 9 | 29 | 14,062 |
| Occup.-Tech. | 16 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 11 | 23 |  |
| Transter | 7 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 13 | 34 | 14,388 |
| Developmental | 17 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 10 | 34 29 | 14,388 12,705 |
| Unclassified |  | 10 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 41 | 16,011 |
| Full-Time | 12 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 11 | 33 |  |
| Part-Time | 9 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 12 | 38 | $\begin{aligned} & 13,868 \\ & 15,161 \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 12
mLidinit incomes by community college, 1974-75

| College | Parental Income | Student Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northern Virginia | \$18,408 | \$17,062 |
| Piedmont Virginia | 15,203 | 1!,657 |
| Tidewater | 14,148 | 12,916 |
| Lord Fairfax | 13,883 | 12,781 |
| Virginia Western | 13,545 | 1!,790 |
| Thomas Nelson | 13,254 | 11,468 |
| Germanna | 13,166 | 12,434 |
| Blue Ridge | 13,141 | 12,625 |
| John Tyler | 12,945 | 13,630 |
| Central Virginia | 12,076 | 13,803 |
| J. Sargeant Reynolds | 11,885 | 13,060 |
| Rappahannock | 11,878 | 13,557 |
| Patrick Henry | 11,513 | - $\because 102$ |
| Paul D. Camp | 11,174 | 12,132 |
| New River | 11,145 | 9,852 |
| Mountain Empire | 11,024 | 13,000 |
| Danville | 10,749 | 11,472 |
| Dabney S. Lancaster | 10,607 | 11,233 |
| Virginia Highlands | 10,464 | 10,.578 |
| Southwest Virginia | 9,821 | :1, 105 |
| Wytheville | 9,800 | 9,095 |
| Eastern Shore | 9,562 | 11,422 |
| Southside Virginia | 7,874 | 11,316 |
| vCCS | 14,466 | 13,465 |
| Excluding Northern Virginia Community College | 12,293 | 12,186 |

## TABLE 13

STUOENTSI INCOME
(For Married Students and Single Students 25 Years of Age or Over)

| Group | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 6,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80,000- \\ & 8,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9,000- \\ & 11,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 12,000-2, \\ & 14,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 15,000- \\ & 17,999 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 18,000 \\ & \text { or Over } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Median } \\ & \text { Income } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 8\% | 15\% | 10\% | $18 \%$ | 14\% | $26 \%$ | \$13,465 |
| Nale | 6 | 16 | 21 | 20 | 14 | 23 | 12,998 |
| Female | 9 | 13 | 17 | 16 | 13 | 32 | 14,053 |
| White | 7 | 13 | 19 | 18 | 14 | 29 | 13,836 |
| Black | 15 | 22 | 21 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 10,879 |
| Other | 10 | 19 | 20 | 15 | 14 | 22 | 12,421 |
| Occup,-Tech. | 12 | 20 | 23 | 20 | 13 | 12 | \| $1,45 \mid$ |
| Transter | 12 | 20 | 25 | 19 | 9 | 15 | 11,208 |
| Developmental | 15 | 21 | 22 | 19 | 11 | 12 | 10,871 |
| Unclassified | 6 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 14 | 31 | 14,152 |
| Full-Time | 17 | 23 | 22 | 16 | 10 | 12 | 10,282 |
| Part-Time | 6 | 13 | 18 | 18 | 15 | 30 | 14,082 |
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TABLE 14
HIG SCHOOL CURRICULUM OF FIRCT-TIMF STUDENTS


TABLE 15
HIGH SCHOOL CLASS STANDING OF FIRST-TIME STUDENTS

| Group | Top 10 Percent | $11-25$ <br> Percent | $\begin{gathered} 26-50 \\ \text { Percent } \end{gathered}$ | Lower Half |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 15\% | 21\% | 47\% | 17\% |
| Male | 10 | 19 | 48 | 23 |
| Female | 20 | 22 | 47 | 11 |
| White | 16 | 21 | 46 | 17 |
| Black | 8 | 18 | 56 | 18 |
| Other | 23 | 21 | 45 | 11 |
| Occup.-rech. | 10 | 21 | 54 | 1 |
| Transfer | 15 | 25 | 46 | 1. |
| Levelopmertal | 4 | 9 | 53 | $\therefore 2$ |
| Unclassified | 18 | 21 | 45 | 16 |
| Full-Time | 10 | 19 | 50 | 21 |
| Purt-Time | 20 | 22 | 44 | 14 |

TABLE 16

## MAJOR GOAL AT COMMUNITY COLLEGE

| Group | Prep. For Spec. Job | Prop. For Caroer Ficld | Goneral Prop. For Employ. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Transfor } \\ \text { to } \\ \text { t-Yr. } \\ \text { Collego } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | General Knowlodge and Education | Porsonal Satist. | Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondunts | 16\% | 238 | 1\% | 218 | 21\% | 9\% | $3 \%$ |
| Mole | 16 | 21 | 4 | 25 | 25 | 6 | 3 |
| Female $\quad$. | 16 | 24 | 5 | 16 | 23 | 12 | 3 |
| Whito | 15 | 22 | 4 | 21 | 24 | 10 | 4 |
| Black | 23 | 31 | 5 | 16 | 20 | 3 | 2 |
| Other | 17 | 19 | 4 | 27 | 23 | 7 | 3 |
| Occup.-Tech. | 24 | 43 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 1 |
| Transter | 5 | 12 | 2 | 67 | 10 | 2 | 2 |
| Developmental | 16 | 32 | 4 | 32 | 14 | 1 | 1 |
| Unclassified | 16 | 18 | 5 | 17 | 28 | 12 | 4 |
| Full-Time | 15 | 32 | j | 37 | 9 | 1 | 1 |
| Part-Time | 17 | 18 | 5 | 14 | 30 | 12 | 4 |

TABLE 17
CHANCE OF ACHIEVING GOAL

| Group | Very Hign | High | About Half | Low | Very Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 48\% | 43\% | 9\% | - | - |
| Male | 49 | 42 | 9 | - | - |
| Female | 46 | 44 | 10 | - | - |
| White | 50 | 42 | 8 | - | - |
| Black | 35 | 48 | 16 | 1 | - |
| Other | 52 | 38 | 10 | - | - |
| Occup.-Tech. | 40 | 50 | 10 | - | - |
| Transfer | 48 | 43 | 9 | - | - |
| Developmental | 36 | 49 | 14 | 1 | - |
| Unclassitied | 51 | 41 | 8 | - | - |
| Full-Time | 42 | 48 | 10 | - | - |
| Part-Time | 50 | 41 | 9 | - | - |
| $\begin{array}{r} 30 \\ 38 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

M（iREE L．EVEL ULSIRS！

| （iroup | None | Cert． | Diploma | AAS | $\begin{aligned} & A \wedge o r \\ & A S \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Buchelor Dogree | beyono <br> 4 Yr ． <br> begreo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Al｜Re＇reondenils， | ？${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $13 \%$ | 37 | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| M，lo | 19 | 12 | ＇） | ； | 7 | 22 | 13 |
| －！！10 | 31 | 14 | ＂ | 15 | 7 | 18 | 9 |
| Wailu | 20 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 21 | 11 |
| ！1， 1 ¢r． | 11 | C1 | 13 | 19 | 8 | 17 | 11 |
| （）Hher | 13 | 9 | 7 | 1リ | 6 | 24 | 21 |
| （1）（ap．－ins ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ． | 9 | 21 | 14 | 38 | 6 | 12 | 4 |
| 11，吅，lu | 4 | ； | 1） | 8 | 12 | 45 | 22 |
| ｜evelopmeental | 4 | 10 | 1リ | 25 | 10 | 26 | 10 |
| Unclascitied | 32 | 13 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 18 | 12 |
| 1u｜1－1ime | 4 | 10 | 12 | 25 | 9 | 27 | 13 |
| Purt－lime | 34 | 14 | $\theta$ | 13 | 6 | 17 | 10 |

TABLE 19

CHANCE OF ACHIEVING DFGREE

| Group | Very High | High | About Half | Low | Very Low |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Respondents | 36 | 49 | 14 | 1 | － |
| Male | 36 | 49 | 14 | 1 | － |
| Female | 35 | 50 | 14 | 1 | － |
| White | 37 | 43 | 13 | 1 | － |
| Black | 30 | 50 | 19 | 1 | － |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Occup．－Tech． | 36 | 51 | 12 | 1 | － |
| Transfer | 34 | 51 | 15 | － | － |
| Developmental | 30 | 51 | 18 | 1 | － |
| Unclassified | 37 | 48 | 14 | 1 | － |
| full－time | 34 | 51 | 14 | 1 | － |
| Part－time | 37 | 48 | 14 | 1 | － |
| 39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
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